The Eco Vote.

A field guide to the would-be Presidents

BY JEFFREY KLUGER

THE MOST REMARKABLE THING ABOUT THE environmental debates taking place in this year's presidential campaign is that they're occurring at all. Once the stuff of a few hug-the-planet bromides in green states like Vermont and Oregon, the environment is one of the hot topics of the 2008 campaign. Yes, there are some candidates who haven't gotten the message (witness Fred Thompson's loopy joke that global warming is taking place on Mars and Jupiter too). But for voters shopping for a green Prez, it's all at once a buyer's market. Here's how the Big Six candidates shape up.

HILLARY CLINTON

CARBON CAPS Supports cap-andtrade, allowing busi-

nesses to swap carbon credits. Seeks an **80**% carbon cut by 2050

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Seeks a 10% reduction in national energy use by 2020. Wants new federal buildings to be "zero emission"

Compare the Energ

MILEAGE

Calls for raising gas-mileage (CAFE) standards to 35 m.p.g. within 10 years. Will use administrative power if Congress declines to act

NUCLEAR ENERGY

Has not taken a strong position on nuclear power; calls herself "agnostic" on the topic

Has opposed drilling in Alaska's Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

DRILLING

(ANWR) and in the Atlantic

JOHN EDWARDS

Supports сарand-trade beginning in 2010 and 80% reduction in carbon

output by 2050

Wants Air Conditioner with Other **15**% cut in energy use by 2018. Seeks efficiency standards for federal buildings and vehicles

by 2030

Wants 40-m.p.g. national average to be achieved by 2016. Proposes \$1 billion per vear fund to stimulate innovations in fuel efficiency

Opposes expanded use of nuclear power. Worries about safety

Opposes drilling in anwr and offshore.

Voted against both while in the

Senate



RUDY GIULIANI

MITT ROMNEY

Acknowledges global warming but rejects cap-and-trade. Has not proposed any specific carbon-reduction targets

Broadly approves of alternative-energy sources and improved efficiency,

but has no specific proposals

Hasn't called for specific changes in automileage requirements.

Not seen as likely to do

Supports increased use of nuclear energy. His private firm has conducted security work for the nuclear industry

Supports drilling in the Gulf of Mexico as well as in ANWR. Has received heavy campaign contributions from oil and gas industries

JOHN MCCAIN

Co-sponsor of Senate cap-and-trade bill; seen as a bipartisan leader on the issue. Wants 65% reduction in carbon by 2050

Generally supports increased energy

efficiency but has not announced specific targets

generally for raising CAFE standards. In past has

Calls

power sources advocated 35 m.p.g.

Supports expanded use of nuclear energy. Advocates including it as part of a broad mix of nonpetroleum

Opposes drilling in ANWR. Has consistently voted **against** it despite party pressure favoring expanded exploration

GIULIANI, ROMNEY: BROOKS KRAFT—CORBIS FOR TIME; EDWARDS, MCCAIN: CHRISTOPHER MORRIS—VII FOR TIME; OBAMA: JAY L. CLENDENIN—AURORA SELECT; ENERGYGUIDE: GETTY; RICHARDSON



Would consider cap-andtrade only if part of a larger global plan

Generally supports improved efficiency but does not address the issue regularly and offers no targets

Would not support mileage goals as a stand-alone measure. Would consider them only if they were part of a comprehensive energy plan

Supports more use of nuclear power as part of energy mix

Supports drilling in ANWR and offshore and stresses the point in video on his campaign website



Supports сарand-trade legislation and calls for an 80% carbon reduction by 2050

Stresses innovation as a means to improve efficiency. Calls for a 50% improvement by 2030

Has alternately called for 50 m.p.g. within 18 years or 1-m.p.q.improvement per year rule. To ease transition, wants tax credits for automakers

willing to explore expanded use of nuclear power. Not an enthusiast

Opposes ANWRdrilling. Missed 2007 Senate vote on drilling off the coast of Virginia

Conclusion So who's the greenest in this red-blue scrum? For the GOP, it's McCain. For the Dems, a toss-up. But beyond the Big Six, there's a surprise seventh: Bill Richardson. The New Mexico Guv sets higher targets than the rest: a 90% cut in carbon by 2050; 50 m.p.g. by 2020. He would also slash oil imports 85% by 2025. Being a second-tier candidate may free him to take chances. Among green voters, that's a way to make it to the top tier.

